



After-Action Report

Operation Iron Horizon • July 16, 2026

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This exercise ran the "Operation Iron Horizon" scenario (Ransomware / Double Extortion), concluding with an overall result of "Contained, But Costly." The team's strongest area was Business Continuity, scoring 7 out of 10. The most significant gap identified was Regulatory Posture, scoring 4 out of 10 - the highest-priority focus area for remediation. Data exposure was confirmed during this exercise, elevating the urgency of the associated improvement actions.

EXERCISE OVERVIEW

Scenario	Operation Iron Horizon
Threat Type	Ransomware / Double Extortion
Setting	Global manufacturing conglomerate, ~340 sites, 40 countries, OT/IT-converged plants
Format	Solo
Date	July 16, 2026
Duration	1 minute
Participants	Dan (Chief Information Security Officer)

Objectives

- Contain the ransomware's lateral spread across OT/IT boundaries before it reaches a second site.
- Coordinate executive, legal, and technical response without losing stakeholder trust.
- Determine appropriate regulatory disclosure timing under SEC and multi-jurisdiction requirements.
- Restore operations securely without reintroducing the same architectural exposure.

SCENARIO SUMMARY

Ransomware has hit the production network of a major manufacturing plant, causing physical safety shutdown loops and threatening lateral propagation to adjacent sites.

- **Act 1 - Ingestion & Triage:** Initial ransomware detection and the first command decisions on isolation versus visibility.
- **Act 2 - Containment & Isolation:** Operations grind to a halt as the team weighs transparency, the ransom demand, and recovery method.
- **Act 3 - The Pivot:** A complication emerges based on how well containment held, forcing a response to escalating exposure.
- **Act 4 - Governance & Materiality:** Board, regulatory, and technical decisions on disclosure timing and resumption of operations.
- **Act 5 - Recovery & Debrief:** The final outcome resolves from the accumulated state of the response across all four acts.

TIMELINE OF DECISIONS

ACT 1 - INGESTION & TRIAGE • CHIEF INFORMATION SECURITY OFFICER

How do you coordinate the initial command response?

Decision: Declare a P1 corporate incident immediately, spin up the crisis bridge, and notify executive leadership.

Outcome: Leadership is engaged early, but panic spreads across departments.

ACT 2 - CONTAINMENT & ISOLATION • CHIEF INFORMATION SECURITY OFFICER

How do you manage the pressure to resume operations?

Decision: Release a transparent statement acknowledging a cybersecurity incident and confirming containment is underway.

Outcome: Transparency builds long-term trust, but triggers immediate inquiry from regulators.

ACT 3 - THE PIVOT • CHIEF INFORMATION SECURITY OFFICER

Do you authorize negotiations to buy time?

Decision: Authorize the negotiator to offer a token payment to delay the leak site countdown.

Outcome: You buy 48 hours, but leak site snippets expose sensitive data to competitors.

ACT 4 - GOVERNANCE & MATERIALITY • CHIEF INFORMATION SECURITY OFFICER

How do you present the incident report to the Board?

Decision: Commit to a fast resume-work date, pushing the teams to bring all systems up immediately to minimize financial impact.

Outcome: Financial metrics recover quickly, but unresolved security debt leaves you open to future pivots.

PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Containment Effectiveness		6/10
Stakeholder Communication		6/10
Regulatory Posture		4/10
Business Continuity		7/10
Data Exposure	Confirmed	

OBSERVATIONS

Strengths

- Business Continuity performed well (7/10), reflecting effective decisions across the exercise.

Areas of Concern

- Regulatory Posture scored 4/10, indicating an area for focused improvement.

IMPROVEMENT PLAN

IDENTIFIED GAP	RECOMMENDED TRAINING MODULE	RATIONALE	OWNER	TARGET DATE
Regulatory & Data Governance Response	Data Governance & Loss Prevention	Your handling of disclosure timing and regulatory posture during the incident could be stronger.		
Data Loss Prevention	Data Governance & Loss Prevention	Sensitive data was confirmed exfiltrated during this run — stronger data protection controls could have prevented or limited this.		

FRAMEWORK ALIGNMENT

Performance metrics in this report map to the following NIST Cybersecurity Framework (CSF) 2.0 functions:	
METRIC	NIST CSF 2.0 FUNCTION
Containment Effectiveness	Respond
Stakeholder Communication	Respond / Communications
Regulatory Posture	Govern
Business Continuity	Recover
Data Exposure	Protect / Detect

APPENDIX: FULL DECISION LOG

ACT	ROLE	DECISION	STATE DELTAS
Act 1	Chief Information Security Officer	Declare a P1 corporate incident immediately, spin up the crisis bridge, and notify executive leadership.	stakeholderTrust: +1, containmentSpeed: +1
Act 2	Chief Information Security Officer	Release a transparent statement acknowledging a cybersecurity incident and confirming containment is underway.	stakeholderTrust: +2, regulatoryStanding: -1
Act 3	Chief Information Security Officer	Authorize the negotiator to offer a token payment to delay the leak site countdown.	stakeholderTrust: -1, dataExposureConfirmed: true
Act 4	Chief Information Security Officer	Commit to a fast resume-work date, pushing the teams to bring all systems up immediately to minimize financial impact.	stakeholderTrust: -1, businessContinuity: +2

Generated by Grimlin on July 16, 2026.

Unofficial study and exercise tool. Grimlin is not affiliated with or endorsed by any certification body, standards organization, regulatory authority, or technology vendor referenced in this document. This report is generated from a simulated tabletop exercise for training and readiness purposes and does not constitute legal, compliance, or regulatory advice.